## Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum Meeting

A meeting of the Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum will be held on Tuesday, 23 April 2013 at 6.30 pm in Conference Hall, Horncastle College, Mareham Lane, Horncastle, Lincs LN9 6BW for the transaction of the business set out on the attached Agenda.

Yours sincerely


Tony McArdle
Chief Executive

## Membership of the Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum

Councillor Eran Nicodemus Bauer
Councillor Denis Colin Hoyes MBE
Councillor I Colquhoun
Mrs Alison Healey
Mr Ray Shipley
Mrs Irene Unsworth
Dr Christopher Allison
Mrs S Brookes
Mr C Padley
Mr C Smith
Mr Richard Graham
Mr Peter Skipworth

# MID-LINCOLNSHIRE LOCAL ACCESS FORUM AGENDA TUESDAY, 23 APRIL 2013 

| Item | Title | Report Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Apologies for Absence |  |
| 2 | Minutes of the previous meeting held on 15 January 2013 | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (\text { Pages } 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Nick Willey, Rural Crime Officer, Lincolnshire Police, will be in attendance to listen to the concerns of the Forum about the extent of the problem of the illegal use of vehicles in the countryside |  |
| 4 | Spa Trail proposals presentation |  |
| 5 | County Council working practices on the provision of information regarding unavailable public rights of way | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (\text { Pages } \\ 11-14) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | Review of County Council approach to rail crossings within Lincolnshire and its relationship with Network Rail | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ \text { (Pages } \\ 15-18) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | Lincolnshire County Estate Farms |  |
| 8a | North East Lincolnshire Countryside Access and Rights of Way Improvement Plan update | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ (\text { Pages } 19 \\ -20) \end{gathered}$ |
| 8b | LincoInshire County Council Countryside Access and Rights of Way Improvement Plan Update | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & \text { (Pages } 21 \\ & -48) \end{aligned}$ |
| 9a | North East Lincs Progress of Definitive Map Modification Order report | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ \text { (Pages } 49 \\ -50) \end{gathered}$ |
| 9b | LincoInshire County Council Progress of Definitive Map Modification Order Report | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ \text { (Pages } 51 \\ -68 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 10a | North East Lincs Progress of Public Path Order Report | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ \text { (Pages } 69 \\ -70) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10b | Lincolnshire County Council Progress of Public Path Order Report | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ (\text { Pages } 71 \\ -74) \end{gathered}$ |

11 Date and Time of the AGM - to be agreed

## Democratic Services Officer Contact Details

| Name: | Steve Blagg |
| :--- | :--- |
| Direct Dial | 01522552109 |

E Mail Address steve.blagg@lincolnshire.gov.uk
Please Note: for more information about any of the following please contact the Democratic Services Officer responsible for servicing this meeting

- Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports

Contact details set out above.
All papers for council meetings are available on:
www.lincolnshire.gov.uk/committeerecords

# Agenda Item 2 reportreererenc: 2.0 <br> Mid Lincs Local <br> Access Forum <br> 15 January 2013 

(Meeting held at Horncastle College)
Present:- Chris Padley (Chairman).
Representing Lincolnshire County Council: Councillors Eran Bauer and Denis Hoyes MBE.

Representing Independent Members: Dr Chris Allison, Sheila Brookes, Richard Graham, Alison Healey, Ray Shipley, Pete Skipworth and Colin Smith.

Officers: Lincolnshire County Council - Steve Blagg (Democratic Services Officer), Chris Miller (Countryside Manager) and Andrew Savage (Senior Countryside Access Officer).

Also in attendance :- Elizabeth Barnicott, Natural England.

## 37. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

No questions were asked by the public.

## 38. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor lain Colquhoun (North East Lincolnshire Council) and Irene Unsworth (Independent Member).
40. RON FLINTHAM - RETIREMENT FROM THE FORUM DUE TO ILL HEALTH

The Secretary informed the Forum that Ron Flintham, independent member on the Forum, had retired from the Forum due to ill health.

## RESOLVED

That the Secretary, on behalf of the Forum, write a letter to Ron Flintham to thank him for his service to the Forum and to wish him well for the future.

## 41. MINUTES

## RESOLVED

That the minutes of the previous meeting of the Forum held on 9 October 2012 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

## 42. $\quad$ ACTIONS ARISING FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF THE FORUM

(a) Policy - Erection of signage to warn that a PROW (Public Right of Way) was closed (minute 23)

Chris Miller stated that due to a number of reasons, including, amongst others, the shortage of resources and the new priority system, previously commented on by the Forum, for dealing with a PROW, it was not possible to erect signage to show that a PROW was closed. He referred to the recent meeting between the Chairman and the Assistant Director of Highways and Transport and it had been agreed to examine the use of the County Council's website to publish details of a PROW not available for use.
Comments made by the Forum included:-

1. The Council did not appear to have a policy even though a PROW was not shown as closed albeit it was on the Definitive Map.
2. The shortage of information for those planning walks.
3. Affected PROWs ought to be shown on maps
4. There were some low priority PROWs that were never signposted. In communications the Council should explain to correspondents why a route was not currently signposted or being made available.
5. The amount of resources available to the Council was unlikely to improve in the near future.
6. It was a statutory duty to erect a signpost where a footpath met a metalled road.
7. There was some confusion about whether a policy existed or whether it was left to the discretion of the Highways Officer if a PROW was accessible.

Chris Miller responded to comments made by the Forum:-

1. The Council had a statutory duty in this area and stated that the priority system agreed by the Council had been considered by the Forum and addressed the issue of signage.
2. An affected PROW was shown on the Ordnance Survey Map.
3. Resources did not allow the erection of signage along the whole of a route.
4. The role of the Highways Officer in connection with a closure of a PROW was explained.
5. Appropriate words would be added to the propose PRoW Customer Service policy in connection with the non-availability of a PROW and on the Council's website.

## RESOLVED

(a) That Chris Miller be requested to produce a policy in connection with the erection of signage in the event of a PROW being closed and that the Forum be consulted on the policy.
(b) That information be made available on the Council's website to show that a PROW was closed, the reason(s) for closure and a map showing the location of the closure.
(b) Lincolnshire Coastal Country Park - Update (minute 26(b)

Chris Miller updated the Forum on developments at the Lincolnshire Country Park since the last meeting of the Forum. He stated that governance of the Park was currently being examined and that one member of the Forum had nominated to serve on the Coastal Country Park Task Team or sub group. Colin Smith would also represent the Ramblers.

Councillor Eran Bauer gave an update of Access to the Countryside by the Disabled Sub Group and added that a new logo for the disabled had been produced. The Sub Group would report to the Forum on completion of its work. NOTED.

## 43. ITEMS FROM NATURAL ENGLAND

## (a) Coastal Access Presentation

Elizabeth Barnicott gave a presentation on proposals for coastal access in Lincolnshire. Issues highlighted in her presentation included the following:-

1. Natural England had been given the necessary powers to deliver coastal access.
2. A lot of lessons had been learnt from Weymouth (the first stage of coastal access implemented for the Olympic Games in 2012).
3. The aim was to deliver $40 \%$ of coastal access within ten years.
4. The implementation process was explained adding that all affected local authorities would be consulted at the appropriate time.
5. There was a strong emphasis on consultation. (The local access authority was the local highway authority).
6. The current situation in Norfolk in connection with the route of the access was explained.
7. Questions which Local Access Forums needed to consider were - thinking about aspirations; opportunities for Lincolnshire; key issues which would help to deliver the project.

Comments made by the Forum included:-

1. The opportunities for equestrian activity.
2. Conflicts of the proposed coastal access with Highways Acts.
3. The effects of coastal erosion on access.
4. There might be locations where access already ran along or within a dune system.
5. The presence of marshland and caravans could impede progress.
6. There was a need to consider gaps on the coast.
7. Whilst residential dwellings appeared protected would any exemptions apply to caravans and caravan parks?

Elizabeth Barnicott thanked the Forum for their comments and agreed to send the questions posed in connection with the development of access in Lincolnshire to Steve Blagg, Secretary, for forwarding onto members to respond. She stated that the Forum should start thinking about coastal access because it would be coming to Lincolnshire in three to four years.

The Chairman asked for clarification of what legal status the path would have - would it become a public right of way or be protected by another specific piece of legislation.

## RESOLVED

(a) That the presentation and comments made by the Forum, be noted.
(b) That Elizabeth Barnicott send details of the questions in connection with coastal access, to Steve Blagg, Secretary, to forward to the Forum to respond.
(b) Huddle - Raising Awareness

Elizabeth Barnicott gave a presentation on the use of the Huddle website. She stated that members could now have access to Huddle and any member who wanted access should contact Fiona Groves, the regional LAF Coordinator with their email address. Contact fgroves@me.com.
(c) Natural England's proposed dedication of National Nature Reserves

Elizabeth Barnicott stated that a letter had been sent to all LAF Chairs about Natural England plans to dedicate their National Nature Reserves as open access and for Saltfleetby/Theddlethorpe, just north of Mablethorpe, this was expected to happen in 2014, the site would become open access unless it impinged on the ecology of the area. National Nature Reserve staff were now examining opportunities for the use of the site and would like to engage with user groups and local community groups. They would be happy to meet with Forum members for a site visit and could offer the use of the meeting room.

The Forum was informed that a number of schemes were in the pipeline for the Paths for Community project. Elizabeth Barnicott stated that they were keen to help groups in this area and added that in a recent newsletter about the project that there was every confidence in spending the money available.

## RESOLVED

That Elizabeth Barnicott send details of the Natural Nature Reserve details to Steve Blagg, Secretary, to circulate to the Forum.

## 44. RIGHTS OF WAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2 - STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION REPORT

Andrew Savage presented a report outlining the findings of the Second Rights of Way Improvement Plan Stakeholder Consultation. Thirty one responses had been received and were detailed in the report.

Comments made by the Forum included:-

1. Concerns about access issues for the significant number of horse riders on the coast in the Saltfleetby area and that facilities for horse riding should be examined by the Forum.
2. There was a need for ROWIP 2 to reflect the public's requirements and what was not realistic in terms of delivery.
3. Horse riding in Lincolnshire was popular and therefore needed a higher profile in ROWIP 2.
4. The response from walkers and cyclists to the consultation was poor.
5. The link between good health and walking needed to emphasised.
6. The Wolds AONB should be consulted about their walking events.
7. The use of volunteers should be encouraged.

Officers responded to the comments made by the Forum:-

1. Horse riding on the beach area near Saltfleetby and Theddlethorpe was the responsibility of East Lindsey District Council.
2. The consultation had been sent to a variety of organisations, including SUSTRANS. (National body representing cyclists).
3. There were a number of initiatives in place to make use of volunteers and details were provided.
4. ROWIP 2 was in the process of being written and there would be further consultations with the Forum with the aim of completion in November 2013.

RESOLVED
That the comments made by the Forum and the responses given by officers be noted and that the comments be considered in the preparation of ROWIP 2.

## 45. COUNTRYSIDE ACCESS AND RIGHTS OF WAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN UPDATE

The Forum received progress reports on the Countryside Access and Rights of Way Improvement Plan from North East Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire County Councils.

In response to a comment made by the Forum, officers stated that there was a statutory obligation for Local Access Forum to be consulted on Gating Orders and it was noted that Gating Orders were mostly in urban areas. It was noted that Councillor lain Colquhoun had commented on those Gating Orders located in North East Lincolnshire District Council's area. The Forum agreed the need for transparency in the process.

With regard to Lincolnshire ROWIP 1 officers stated that the improvements had nearly been completed and they were examining what potential there was for further schemes assisted by the Paths for Communities funding in particular reference was made to the use of this grant to potentially help finance the construction of a bridge from Market Deeping to Cambridgeshire.

Officers stated that they would investigate the comments made by Pete Skipworth in connection with the use of $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~s}$ at Monkton.

The Forum considered the inappropriate use of $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~s}$ in the countryside and the Forum agreed that the person responsible for rural matters at Lincolnshire Police
should be invited to attend a meeting of the Forum. It was also agreed that the legal options to prevent inappropriate use by $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~s}$ in the countryside should be considered at the same time. In this respect the Forum noted that the theft of lead from Churches had reduced substantially since the Police had got more involved.

## RESOLVED

(a) That the reports and responses given by officers be noted.
(b) That the person with responsibility for rural matters at Lincolnshire Police be invited to attend a future meeting of the Forum when the use of $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~s}$ in the countryside is considered and that the legal options to prevent inappropriate use of $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~s}$ in the countryside be considered at the same time.

## 46. PROGRESS OF DEFINITIVE MAP ORDERS FROM OCTOBER 2006-19 JUNE 2012

The Forum received progress reports on Definitive Map Orders from October 2006 to 20 December 2012 from North East Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire County Councils.

RESOLVED
That the reports be noted.
47. PUBLIC PATH ORDERS PROGRESS REPORT 1 APRIL 2012 TO 31 MARCH 2013

The Forum received progress reports on Public Path Orders from 1 April 2012 to 31 March 2013 from North East Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire County Councils.

Officers reported on progress of a proposed new route and new bridge at Anderby in the Coastal Country Park. The proposed new route would go out to public consultation.

The Forum was informed that the County Council had refused an appeal by Network Rail to divert a footpath which crossed the Lincoln to Newark railway line at Ballast Hole, Lincoln.

## RESOLVED

That the reports and responses given by officers be noted.

## 48. ITEMS RAISED BY PETE SKIPWORTH

(a) Marking of footpaths across ploughed fields

Pete Skipworth stated that landowners needed to mark a footpath when a field had been ploughed. The Forum agreed with Pete Skipworth's comments but it was noted that canes were only required as markers if the footpath was not visible.

Officers stated that there were no longer any Enforcement Officers specifically employed to ensure that landowners marked ploughed fields. The problem was seasonal, presently aggravated by inclement weather conditions and the opportunity was taken to encourage landowners to mark footpaths with canes as opposed to marking the route with tractor wheelings.

The Forum agreed that the situation had improved in recent years and that there was now a new generation of landowners who were aware of their responsibility to ensure that a footpath was restored after a field had been ploughed.

The Forum agreed that local authorities should record the effects of cuts in public expenditure and draw them to the attention of the Government.
(b) Placing of carriage gaps on the bridleway at Brinkhill

In response to Pete Skipworth's request for the placing of carriage gaps on the bridleway at Brinkhill, officers stated that the Council tried to avoid putting obstructions on PROWs and that carriage gaps could not obstruct private accesses. Officers requested that Pete Skipworth should speak to Jonathan Stockdale about the problem at Brinkhill.

## (c) Creating Footpaths on Grass Verges to link Footpaths

Pete Skipworth suggested that the County Council should consider using tarmac strips on grass verges to link footpaths.

The Forum noted that footways formed part of the carriageway and should be in the remit of the Local Access Forum.

Officers stated that if there was a safety issue or where there was sufficient demand then it was possible that a footpath could be added to the Internal Rights of Way Programme. Cycle routes which had encountered similar linkage problems had received funding from SUSTRANS to overcome them. The Council did not have sufficient funds to address all problems at the current time and, also, any hard surface would have to be maintained at public expense.

## RESOLVED

That the comments made by the Forum and responses given by officers be noted.

## 49. SPA TRAIL PROPOSALS UPDATE

Chris Miller gave a verbal update to the Forum on the latest situation in connection with the proposals to extend the Spa Trail. He stated that the Spa Trail was part of a larger project in which it was proposed to extend the trail to Horncastle, Woodhall Spa and to the Cycle/Waterway Trail, along a former rail line. However, there were issues about the proposed routing through Woodhall Spa Golf Club which were currently being examined.

Comments made by the Forum included the need for the Forum to be involved in any consultations, the need for the Forum to consider the wider issue of process and the involvement of the Forum, and that Woodhall Spa Golf Club had stated that they had no objection to walkers but were adamant that they did not want cyclists using any proposed new footpath through their golf course.

Chris Miller stated that the consultation process in this case was no different to that which took place for the diversion of a PROW and added that alternative routes were being examined.

The Forum agreed that it would be useful to invite Andy Jee, Project Leader for the scheme, to explain the issues involved, to a future meeting of the Forum.

## RESOLVED

(a) That the comments made by the Forum and the responses given by officers be noted.
(b) That Andy Jee, Project Leader for the Spa Trail, be invited to attend a future meeting of the Forum to explain the proposals for the scheme.

## 50. PROWS ACROSS RAILWAY LINES

Chris Miller responded to comments from the Forum about PROWs crossing railway lines stating that Network Rail wished to remove of all unmanned crossings, citing a recent example of a request by Network Rail to close Ballast Hole in Lincoln which had subsequently been refused by the Council.

Comments made by the Forum included that Network Rail was not interested in finding solutions to enable the public to cross unmanned crossings and in the case of Ballast Hole, Lincoln, Network Rail already had the necessary infrastructure present to make the crossing safe, and Network Rail should provide a reason(s) why an unmanned crossing should be closed.

## RESOLVED

That the comments made by the Forum and responses given by officers be noted and that Chris Miller submit a report to the Forum in connection with PROWs crossing unmanned railway lines.

## 51. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT ON MEETING WITH PAUL COATHUP, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT

The Chairman reported on the outcome of his discussions with Paul Coathup, Assistant Director of Highways and Transport, in connection with issues affecting the Forum, including the following:-

1. Sign posting of Green Lanes not on the Definitive Map but public highway.
2. Off-road damage to Green Lanes by 4x4s.
3. The need for a broader review of the PROW network.
4. Clarification of a PROW when it was deemed unusable, e.g. a deadend.
5. The backlog of dealing with Definitive Map Orders and the need to avoid churning. There were still cases waiting to be considered three years after the new policy had been introduced.

Chris Miller noted the Chairman's comments about the process for dealing with Definitive Map Orders but questioned whether it was the process itself which needed streamlining. He hoped the Government's proposals would address the problem. NOTED.
52. DATE AND TIME OF THE NEXT MEETING
6.30pm on Tuesday 23 April 2013 at Horncastle College.

The meeting closed at 4.30 pm
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# Agenda Item 5 

## Lincolnshire <br> Working for a beter fuwue

Report Reference:
5.0

## Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities

| Report to: | Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 23rd April 2013 <br> County Council working practices on the |
| Subject: | provision of information regarding unavailable <br> public rights of way. |

## Summary:

A report for discussion regarding how the County Council can provide relevant information to the public regarding paths which are known not to be available.

## Recommendation(s):

That the report is noted and advice to the County Council formulated by the Local Access Forum.

## 1. Background

It is known that a number of public rights of way remain unavailable for public usage despite depiction on the Definitive Map \& Statement. This may be for a number of reasons including (but not limited to):

- A temporary or full Traffic Regulation Order
- A major obstruction such as a building or large watercourse
- The lack of a vital piece of infrastructure such as a large bridge.
- Complex outstanding legal issues that should be resolved prior to further financial investment on a route.

Currently on the receipt of a report from a member of the public the County Council will respond on the basis of timescales as laid out in Policy HAT 73-1-12 regarding the priority of public rights of way and the associated timescales for standard enforcement or maintenance work.

These timescales are for guidance only and there may be times when it may not be possible to meet these service standards or may fall into a category of progress being made on the basis of when time and resources allow.. In such instances the

County Council will inform respondents as to the reasons why and what action is being taken. Rights of way affected by situations such as a major obstruction or legal issue will be classed as a Priority 4 route and it is expected that no resolution as to a timescale can be made and the way is likely to remain unavailable for some time.

|  | Rights of <br> Way Act <br> Infringements <br>  <br> Cropping) |  <br> Vegetation <br> Clearance <br> (Subject to <br> cyclical <br> programme) | Path <br> Furniture <br> Repair and <br> Minor <br> Obstructions | New or <br> Replacement <br> Bridges | Essential <br> Surfacing <br> Works |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3 Months | 2 Months | 3 Months | Subject to size, |  |

## 2. Future Proposals for Discussion

In order to provide more proactive information to users to enable the planning of walks a number of potential actions could be taken.
a) Ensure that correspondence references why a route is currently unavailable including all routes classed as Priority 4.
b) A list of long-term unavailable rights of way (Priority 4) to be published on the Council website giving grid references, a reason for closure with a link to the Countryside Access Map or other form of mapping to indicate the location of the unavailability.
c) The erection of onsite signage to indicate unavailability of a route with associated mapping to highlight an alternative way.
d) Warn the public on the Countryside Access Mapping webpage of the potential for rights of way to be unavailable.

Any actions undertaken would form part of a Works Instruction Memoranda until such a time as they can be formalised through the introduction of relevant PRoW Customer Service Policies

## 3. Resources

In implementing any actions consideration as to the availability of resources and the feasibility of the approach must be taken. In this regard officer analysis of the four potential actions is as follows:
a) Limited resource implications and officers can merely add a sentence to any standard response letter outlining reasons for unavailability.
b) Limited resource implications for the publication of a list on the website. Resource for IT implications will be required and project time allocated to progressing any action of this sort.
c) Significant resource in terms of officer time, contractor time and finance for the installation of any form of onsite signage. It is also considered that in a number of cases related to particular legal issues it may be inappropriate. For a number of routes it is possible that any signage would be removed by occupiers keen not to draw attention to the right of way and without enforcement work being undertaken no follow up by the Council is likely in those circumstances.
d) Limited resource implications in making alterations to the Council webmapping. Project time will be required to be allocated to progressing any action of this sort.

## 4. Officer Recommendations

That the Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum considers the issue of information regarding unavailable public rights of way and provides advice to the County Council as appropriate.

## 5. Background Papers

The following background papers as defined in the Local Government Act 1972 were relied upon in the writing of this report.

Highways \& Traffic Guidance Note HAT 73-1-12 - Revisions to the Public Rights of Way Path Prioritisation Policy, Standard Issue Timescales and Inspection Regimes

This report was written by Chris Miller, Countryside Access Manager, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or countryside access@lincolnshire.gov.uk
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# Agenda Item 6 

## Lincolnshire <br> Working for a beter fuwue

Report Reference:
6.0

## Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities

| Report to: | Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | 23rd April 2013 |
| Subject: | Review of County Council approach to Rail |
|  | Crossings within Lincolnshire and its |
| Relationship with Network Rail. |  |

## Summary:

A report for discussion regarding the relationship between Lincolnshire County Council and Network Rail regarding rail crossings across the County.

## Recommendation(s):

That the report is noted and advice to the County Council formulated by the Local Access Forum.

## 1. Background

There are 93 rights of way that cross the rail network in some fashion throughout Lincolnshire. Some of these are provided for by grade separated crossings such as a bridge or an underpass however a significant majority are at grade crossings with no control measures other than a stile/gate at the lineside and infill boarding between rails to avoid trip hazards.

Network Rail's responsibility for rights of way crossing railways is generally derived from the Railway Clauses Consolidation Act 1845 however alternative or additional duties may be encompassed in other statutes authorising the construction of any given line.

Network rail are, through the 1865 Act, expected to make and maintain convenient approaches to the line and good and sufficient gates and stiles in lineside fences. They have a statutory and common law obligation to ensure that they remain in good repair.

For bridges and underpasses Network Rail will generally be responsible for the structure although the County Council remains responsible for the surface of the highway. (See case example for Ruskington below).

## 2. Opportunities for Alterations or Improvements.

## Path Furniture

Like all service providers Network Rail have a duty to make reasonable adjustments to improve access opportunities for the less able (Equalities Act 2010). In such circumstances where the County Council has inspected a route and found that a stile is in poor condition or missing it will request that Network Rail adopt an approach of fitting appropriate hand or kissing gates.

## Route Alignment

Network Rail may also apply to divert or extinguish a public right of way (but not a BOAT) on safety grounds using Highways Act 1980 s.118A or s.119A.
Assessments must be made as to the relative safety of the crossing and all other available options must have been considered and found to be inappropriate.

At present across the nation Network Rail are reviewing all at grade crossings where there is a perceived safety issue or if there is an ongoing or proposed alteration to the speed and/or frequency of train movements on a line.

Two such applications on safety grounds have been made recently in Lincolnshire. Firstly, at Tallington near Stamford, an application to divert two footpaths crossing a number of lines including the East Coast Main Line to utilise a nearby road crossing has been made and the Council had publicised an order. This order was subject to objections which would require submission of the order to the Secretary of State. Subsequently the proposal has been revised and a fresh consultation recently undertaken.

The second application was to divert the footpath crossing at Ballast Hole on the Lincoln to Newark line. After consideration of the application as presented by Network Rail the Planning and Regulation Committee determined that the application did not meet the tests of the legislation as regards the safety of the route and declined to make an order. The path remains on its historic alignment.

When Network Rail make such approaches for extinguishment or diversion the County Council will not only review the merits of such an application but will also review how such an alteration will affect the wider rights of way network. One example of this is a recently made approach to replace one of the 6 crossings on a 1 mile stretch of line north of Metheringham with a footbridge. Officers reviewed the network and requested that Network Rail put forward a "package" to alter the alignment of the rest of the network so that the number of crossings will be rationalised.

## Bridges

As noted above Network Rail is generally responsible for the bridge structure whilst the Council remains responsible for the surface of the highway, which under most circumstances, will included the decking. The Council has successfully worked with

Network Rail regarding a footbridge at Ruskington which was becoming dangerous due to the rotting of the timber decking affixed to the steel superstructure. As Network Rail also needed to undertake repairs to the steel work agreement was made to replace the timber decking with new crenelated and treated anti-slip boards which on vey well used path and recognised route to the adjacent primary school have been well received.

## Access Assessments

In 2009-2010 The Council's Access Development Team undertook a survey of crossings as part of a Statement of Action in the RoWIP. Officers recorded the current availability of routes as well as the requirements believed to be needed to bring a crossing to a basic safety standard including appropriate furniture and any need for infill boarding. This information was sent to Network Rail for their action as appropriate. It is not known what works have been undertaken as a result of this access audit

## 3. Officer Recommendations

That the Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum considers the report regarding the management and alterations to rights of way crossing rail lines and provides advice to the County Council as appropriate.

## 4. Background Papers

No background papers as defined in the Local Government Act 1972 were relied upon in the writing of this report.

This report was written by Chris Miller, Countryside Access Manager, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or countryside access@lincolnshire.gov.uk
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8.1 N E Lincs ROWIP

North East Lincolnshire ROWIP Objectives

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { NELC ROWIP } \\ \text { Key Action }\end{array}$ | Project Details | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\infty$ |
| :--- |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |
| $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |
| $\infty$ |
| $\varnothing$ |
|  |

KA 06 \& KA08 \&

KA2 \& KA9 \&
KA11 \& KA12 \&
KA13
Ongoing legal issues to determine accurate
line of FP72 requiring amendment to Definitive
Map and reinstatment of path on the ground.
To identify routes recorded on the Def Map
and arrange for
the necessary works/action to re-open them.

## longstanding issues.

| Process to produce new Consolidated Definitive Map for the North East |
| :--- |
| Lincolnshire area is currently underway. Current Definitive Map has a relevant |
| date of June 1953. Consolidating the Def Map will take into account recent |
| resolution of over 110 Legal Orders during the last year. | drafted. This is to replace the existing policy approved in 2004. Once the draft is approved for consultation in due course, this will be forwarded to the LAF for comment/approval.

This page is intentionally left blank

## Lincolnshire <br> Work eng for a better future

Report Reference:
8.2

## Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities

| Report to: | Mid Lincolnshire and South Lincolnshire and <br> Rutland Local Access Forums |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $10^{\text {th }}$ and $23^{\text {rd }}$ April 2013 <br> Subject: | | Countryside Access and Rights of Way |
| :--- |
| Improvement Plan Update |

## Summary:

A report outlining the progress made in the implementation of the Lincolnshire Countryside Access and Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

## Recommendations):

i) That the forum notes the contents of the report.

## 1. Background

The responsibility to produce a Rights of Way Improvement Plan is a statutory duty arising from the Countryside and Rights of way Act 2000. The first Lincolnshire Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP) was adopted by the County Council on 3 April 2007.

The ROWIP is being implemented under four themes, each with a strategic aim and supporting the wider social objectives of the County Council including the aims of the LTP2:

- Sustainable Transport - Strategic Aim to increase the use of the network for sustainable transport and utility trips
- Health and Well-being - Strategic Aim to make it easier for people to incorporate exercise into their daily lives and lead healthier lifestyles
- Rural Economy and Tourism - Strategic Aim to support local businesses and tourism through access improvements
- Social Issues - Strategic Aim to enable more people to enjoy walking and riding

There is also a separate strand of Core Tasks that will assist in the management of the network and access opportunities.

The Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan Year Action Plan is attached to this report as Appendix A.

## 2. Summary

## Progress Report

## Network Management-

The highway divisions have continued to make general improvements through improved maintenance and enforcement works. In the period April 2007 to February 2013 these have included;

- 852 stiles provided or repaired,
- 1,618 new roadside signs,
- 1,856 routes waymarked,
- 515 gates provided or repaired,
- 359 new bridges,
- 292 stiles replaced with kissing gates,
- 234 stiles removed.

Improvement Schemes - The improvement schemes have focussed on routes in urban areas and on the urban fringe, routes in the region of countryside heritage sites and promoted routes.

Completed Schemes-

| Path | Nature of Work | Justification for Works |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wellingore PF 5 | Surface Improvements | Inter Village Path |
| Nocton PF 10 | Surface Improvements | Inter Village Path |
| Scothern PF 149 | Surface Improvements | Inter Village Path/ Route to <br> School |
| Reepham PF131 | Bridge Upgrade | Route to School |
| Fiskerton PF 116 | Access Improvement | Viking Way |
| Waddingham PF 72 | Surface Improvement | Inter Village Path |
| Walesby Byway 524 | Surface Improvements | Lindsey Trail |
| Lincoln PF 11 | Surface Improvements | Link path to Foss Dyke |
| Bourne PF 1 | Surface Improvements | Link path to Bourne Woods |
| Saxby PF 9 | Bridge Upgrade | Adjacent to campsite |
| Market Rasen PF 163 | Surface Improvements | Town Path |
| Grasby PF49 | Surface Improvements | Viking Way/ Route to School |
| Trusthorpe PF 863 | Surface Improvements | Link Path to Promenade |
| Trusthorpe PF 816 | Surface Improvements | Inter Village Path |
| Sleaford PF 17 | Surface Improvements | Sleaford Walk Leaflet |
| Muckton PB 307 | Surface Improvements | County Bride Route |
| North Willingham Byway 523 | Surface Improvements | Lindsey Trail |
| Linwood Byway 764 | Surface Improvements | Close to popular area of <br> countryside |
| Sleaford PF 948 | Surface Improvements | Urban Link |

The access development team has been allocated a budget to undertake improvement works in the coming year and suitable schemes are being identified.
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## Rights of Way Improvement Plan 2-

The draft RoWIP 2 is nearing completion and will be published during the summer.

## 3. Consultation

## Scrutiny Comments

n/a

## Executive Councillor Comments

n/a
Local Member Comments
n/a
Policy Proofing Actions Required
n/a
4. Appendices

| These are listed below and attached at the back of the report |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Appendix A | Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan Action <br> Plan |  |

## 5. Background papers

No background papers within Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972 were used in the preparation of this report.
This report was written by Andy Savage - Senior Countryside Access Officer, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or andrew.savage@lincolnshire.gov.uk.
APPENDIX A
Review of Statement of Action
Core Tasks

| Ref | Action | Target date | Work Undertaken | Level of Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT1 | Review the Definitive Map Modification Order Priorities Policy and Public Path Order Policies in line with the RoWIP, to give a potentially higher priority to key routes that will improve or increase access. | 2008 | -Comprehensive review of DMMO policy completed in 2010. <br> -PPO policy reviewed 2010. | Completed |
| CT2 | Review the current Path Priority System for the maintenance and enforcement of PRoW | 2008 | Path Priority System maintained under review. | Completed |
| CT3 | Review Maintenance and Enforcement Policies for PRoW and publicise to farmers, landowners and the public | 2008-2009 | Draft Enforcement Manual completed. | Partially Complete |
| CT4 | Review current Parish Paths Partnership scheme and explore new ways to increase community involvement | 2008 | Review of parish paths partnership scheme completed in autumn 2009. | Completed |
| CT5 | Undertake whole network condition survey | Complete by 2010 | Survey complete - undertaken through the proactive inspection regime which ensures all paths receive an inspection at least once in a three year period. | Completed |
| CT6 | Seek opportunities to reduce the number of unnecessary stiles and barriers on the PRoW network | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | 234 unnecessary stiles and barriers removed and 292 stiles replaced with kissing gates. <br> Promoted routes surveyed to identify unnecessary stiles and barriers. | Completed |
| CT7 | Continue to fully sign and waymark the PRoW network | Throughout | 1,618 new roadside fingerposts installed either | Completed |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Plan life } \\ 2007-2012\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { in new locations or to replace those in poor } \\ \text { condition in addition 1,856 paths waymarked. } \\ \text { Promoted routes surveyed to identify routes } \\ \text { requiring signing or waymarking. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CT8 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Continue the annual programme of vegetation } \\ \text { clearance on PRoW }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Throughout } \\ \text { Plan life } \\ 2007-2012\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Additional paths added to the schedule during } \\ \text { ROWIP implementation years 1-4 as } \\ \text { necessary and in line with improvement } \\ \text { schemes and the development of new } \\ \text { promoted routes. Austerity measures resulted } \\ \text { in the re-examination of vegetation cutting } \\ \text { schedule during implementation year 5. }\end{array} & \text { Completed } \\ \hline \text { CT9 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Continue to ensure that the public has access to } \\ \text { designated areas of Open Country and Registered } \\ \text { Common Land under CRoW Act 2000 }\end{array} & 2008 & \begin{array}{l}\text {-Access Land Management Plan reviewed. } \\ \text {-Access land inspection regime introduced. } \\ \text {-Interpretative panels installed at South and } \\ \text { West Commons in Lincoln. }\end{array} & \text { Completed } \\ \text {-Woodnook Valley, Grantham - Access } \\ \text { controls and signage installed. } \\ \text {-Lincoln Commons leaflets published. }\end{array}\right]$
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|  |  |  | published (since Apr 07) <br> Outdoor Guide produced (Lincolnshire Tourism) <br> Visitor Guide produced (Lincolnshire Tourism) <br> 15 walking discovery packs produced with Lincs Tourism - 2009 <br> ‘Countryside for All’ pack produced. <br> 'Walk more in Lincolnshire' produced in association with stakeholder groups. <br> 'Countryside Access’ education pack produced. <br> LCC Countryside microsite redesigned. <br> Revised Countryside Code promoted on LCC web site. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CT11 | Develop longer distance routes and trails | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Viking Way - no review undertaken as originally proposed. <br> Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 given Royal Assent. No further action required at this time. <br> Partnership Working <br> - Spires \& Steeples Trail now launched. <br> - Lindsey Trail -completed. <br> Macmillan Way - Programme of infrastructure | Partially Complete |


|  |  |  | improvements undertaken around Boston and Surfleet. <br> Save the Children walk - Assistance provided in route development. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sustainable Transport |  |  |  |  |
| Ref | Action | Target date | Work Undertaken | Level of Completion |
| SOA1 | Identify and improve off road routes linking communities with schools, employment centres and local services | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Comments submitted regarding reviews of Community Travel Zones for Lincoln and Louth. <br> Targeted improvements implemented on the following routes; <br> 1. Claxby BOAT 753 - Improvement works <br> 2. Lincoln PF No. 3 - Improvement works to increase accessibility to pushchairs. <br> 3. Louth PF No. 11 - Improvement works to increase accessibility to school. <br> 4. Crowland PF No. 6 - Surface improvement works undertaken at Wheatsheaf Yard. <br> 5. North Somercotes PF No. 30 - Surface improvements works undertaken on village path. <br> 6. Goulceby PF No. 25 - Surface improvement works undertaken on village path. <br> 7. Wainfleet St Mary PF No. 323 -Access improvement works undertaken on village path in conjunction with parish council. <br> 8. Branston PF No. 14 - Seating installed on | Completed |
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## Page 29

|  |  |  | Additional small scale works undertaken at various locations by LCC highway divisions. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA2 | Improve enforcement and management of urban rights of way to combat litter, fly tipping and dog fouling | 2011 | LCC Divisions and Borough Councils contacted regarding issues of flytipping on public rights of way to highlight areas experiencing excessive flytipping. <br> Borough Councils contacted to clarify protocols for dealing with dog fouling on public rights of way | Completed |
| SOA3 | Seek improvements for non-motorised travel in proposals for new developments. Schemes funded through developer contributions | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Regular liaison takes place with planning authorities to ensure that maximum public benefits are realised. <br> Comments are submitted on planning applications as they arise. <br> Comments submitted to: <br> -Stamford - Newstead Development Proposals consultation. <br> -Lincoln Eastern Bypass <br> -Grantham Southern Quadrant Relief Road | Completed |
| SOA4 | Develop walking and cycling from public transport interchanges | 2011 | Railway walks leaflets produced from Poacher Line stations; <br> 1. Heckington to Sleaford <br> 2. Ancaster to Sleaford. <br> 3. Skegness to Wainfleet. <br> 4. Metheringham | Completed |

Page 10
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Information included in Getting Around } \\
\text { Lincolnshire (Lincs CC) }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Walking and cycling details included on all new <br>
(LCC) bus and train guides. <br>
Lincolnshire Walks information displayed at <br>

selected bus stops locations.\end{array}\right]\)| SOA5 |
| :--- |

Health and Well Being

| Ref | Action | Target date | Works Undertaken | Level of Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA7 | Improve infrastructure on paths used for health walks initiatives | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Improvement of health walking network undertaken with $£ 110,000$ of Lincolnshire PCT funding. 496 miles of health walking routes surveyed for improvements and programme of improvement works devised. <br> The programme of improvement works included surface improvements, bridge upgrading and improvements to path infrastructure. | Completed |
| SOA8 | Reduce number of unnecessary barriers on PROW | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Unnecessary stiles and barriers identified during routine maintenance works removed on identification of funding. <br> 234 stiles were removed as unnecessary and 292 stiles were replaced with gates. | Completed |
| SOA9 | Ensure cross field paths are reinstated after ploughing | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Enforcement Policy Manual completed. | Partially Completed |
| SOA10 | Improve routes in areas of highest health deprivation in Lincolnshire. | 2012 | Areas of highest health deprivation identified and mapped - information sent to LCC highways divisions with recommendation of targeted improvements in highlighted areas. <br> Walking and cycling information distributed to 18 health centres in highlighted areas (March 10). | Completed |


|  |  |  | Walking and cycling information offered to all <br> health centres (2011). |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOA11 | Undertake accessibility audit of the network | 2011 | Following consideration full network <br> accessibility survey considered impossible to <br> undertake. Full network condition survey <br> therefore used to obtain accessibility <br> information. <br> Accessibility audit undertaken of all <br> Lincolnshire Walks during 2010. | Partially <br> Completed |
| SOA12 | Develop a range of key routes in conjunction with <br> disability groups. | 2012 | 5 Countryside for all routes published using <br> Aggregate Levy Sustainability Funding (2010) | Partially <br> Completed |
| SOA13 | Promote health walking schemes and help partners <br> with development of new schemes. | Throughout <br> Plan life <br> $2007-2012$ | Promotional links established and support <br> mechanisms in place. <br> Ongoing. PCT funding enabled expansion of <br> health walks schemes and GP referrals. <br> Funding secured through "Choosing Health". <br> Support given to Boston leisure and health <br> walks to produce 6 walks leaflets. | Completed |
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|  |  |  | Anderby health walking group established. 2 bridges installed at Anderby. <br> Support given to Sustrans in East Lindsey to produce health walks leaflets. <br> Attendance at Lincs Walks forum. <br> Annual advert placed in GP and community magazines. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA14 | Promote benefits of rights of way to wider range of potential users | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Assistance provided in the Wolds Walking <br> Festival, LCCP, Countryside Events <br> Programme and Wash week. <br> Attendance at the 'Sustainable Schools <br> Showcase' to promote countryside access to young people (2010). <br> Attendance at 'Walk it, Bike it, Share it'. <br> 'Walk more in Lincolnshire' leaflet produced in association with stakeholder groups. <br> Lincolnshire articles published in the East Midlands LAF newsletter. <br> 'Countryside Access' education pack published. <br> Countryside for all pack produced (2010) <br> Adverts placed in GP and community | Completed. |


|  |  |  | magazines. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Attendance at 'Get out There' events (2009). <br> Articles in County News. <br> Attendance at Lincolnshire Show. <br> Attendance at Heckington Show (2011) |  |
| SOA15 | Produce targeted information for doctors surgeries, <br> health centres in areas of highest health deprivation | Throughout <br> Plan life <br> $2007-2012$ | 7 Adverts and editorial articles featured in <br> various editions of the 'Lincolnshire New GP <br> Community Health Care Magazine'. <br> Leaflets sent to health centres (2010). <br> Information sent to all health centres (2011). | Completed |
| SOA16 | Publicise barrier free routes | Throughout <br> Plan life <br> $2007-2012$ | Audit undertaken of all routes included in <br> Lincolnshire Walks series of leaflets. <br> 5 Countryside for all routes promoted using <br> Aggregate Levy Sustainability Funding. | Completed |
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|  |  |  | works. <br> Claxby with Moorby BW 194 - surface improvement works. <br> Fiskerton Public Bridleway No. 221 - bridge improvements. <br> Skegness PF 307 - surface improvement works. <br> Viking Way - Installation of benches. <br> Gedney PF 15 - Surface Improvement works. <br> Sleaford PF No. 17 - Surface improvement works adjacent to the River Slea. <br> Fiskerton PF No. 116 - Access Improvement Works. <br> Walesby Byway No. 524 - Surface Improvement Works <br> Bourne PF 1 - Surface Improvement Works <br> Muckton PB 307 - Surface Improvement Works |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA19 | Improve the maintenance and management of routes close to popular areas of the county and key tourist attractions. | 2011 | Routes identified in the influence of tourism sites and designated areas and implement improvement works as required. <br> See SOA 18 | Completed |
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|  |  |  | Gedney access improvements. Infrastructure improvements on Gedney 'Lincolnshire walk' undertaken. <br> North Willingham Byway 523 - Surface Improvements. <br> Linwood Byway 764 - Surface Improvements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA20 | Improve maintenance and promotion of promoted routes and county trails | 2011 | Audit of Lincolnshire Walks routes undertaken to identify infrastructure and waymarking/ signage improvements and improvements to vegetation cutting regime. | Completed |
| SOA21 | Support and encourage new tourist attractions to include walking and cycling through the planning consultation process. | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Highways divisional staff comment on planning applications as they arise and suggest recommendations for improved walking and cycling provision during the planning consultation process. | Completed |
| SOA22 | Support partners with development of new trails | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Partners supported with the development of new trails. <br> -Spires and Steeples Trail launched 3 May 2008. <br> -Spa Trail works completed. <br> -Support given to South Holland Borough Council to develop a series of walking and cycling routes. <br> -6 Limewoods walks leaflets published. | Completed |
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|  |  |  | of the walks leaflets. <br> -Access advice provided for the Lincolnshire <br> Coastal Grazing Marshes project. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOA23 | Develop a Lincolnshire Coastal trail | 2012 | Marine and Coastal Access Act provided Royal <br> Assent in November 2009. No further action <br> required at this time. | Partially <br> Complete |
| SOA24 | Provide public transport information at key sites and <br> promoted routes | Ongoing | Travel Line and LCC public transport <br> information published on walking and cycling <br> leaflets. | Completed |
| SOA25 | Produce walking, cycling and riding information in a <br> variety of formats and for holiday accommodation <br> providers | Lincolnshire walks information now displayed at <br> selected bus stop locations. |  |  |
| Ongoing <br> LCColnshire walks information included in the |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  | 5 Countryside for all routes published. <br> Searchable database of Lincolnshire Walks produced. <br> 42 Lincolnshire Walks Leaflets produced. <br> 8 Lincolnshire Cycling Leaflets produced. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA26 | Develop website to include interactive web walks with links to local services and tourist attractions | 2007 | Searchable walks database published in 2010. <br> LCC Countryside micro site redesigned in 2011. <br> Revised Countryside Access Map published in 2011. | Completed |
| SOA27 | Develop a range of information panels at gateways sites/ villages to promote access opportunities and local services available | 2012 | Consideration given to installing interpretation panels in P3 parishes. Austerity measures prevent full implementation. PRoW plans provided for P3 groups for display on parish notice boards. <br> Interpretation panels installed on South and West Commons in Lincoln. | Partially Complete |
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Social Issues

| Ref | Action | Target date | Works Undertaken | Level of Completion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA28 | Improve quality of waymarking to increase user confidence and assist land managers | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | 1,618 new roadside fingerposts installed either in new locations or to replace those in poor condition in addition 1,856 path waymarked. <br> Limewoods Signage - Public rights of way signage in the Limewoods area replaced with oak fingerposts with some containing destination and distance information. <br> Promoted route survey completed. | Completed. |
| SOA29 | Identify all missing bridges on key network routes, develop and implement a work programme | 2010 | Missing bridges identified. Capital bridges programme commenced, reduced funding prevents further action at this time. | Partially Completed |
| SOA30 | Implement programme of signing selected unsurfaced unclassified county roads | 2011 | Officer time spent identifying UCRs and gap analysis using GIS of PROW network and other access. Programme of signage based on demand and necessity nearing completion. | Partially Completed |
| SOA31 | Clarify who can use which route through better signage. | 2007 | Officer time spent to identify UCRs and gap analysis undertaken using GIS of PROW network and other access. <br> Alternative formats of signage considered by LAF and highway divisions. Signage for restricted byways produced 2008. | Completed |
| SOA32 | Develop a programme of improved vegetation cutting on key routes close to settlements with parish | 2009 | Programme of improved vegetation cutting in place on key routes. Additional paths added to | Partially Complete |
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|  | councils |  | the schedule during ROWIP implementation years 1-4. Austerity measures resulted in reexamination of vegetation cutting schedule during implementation year 5 . <br> P3 scheme review currently underway. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA33 | Complete audit of busy road crossings and implement prioritised programme of improvements | 2011 | Audit of road and rail crossings now complete. Short list of most 'hazardous' crossings forwarded to LCC Divisions, Lincolnshire Road Safety Partnership, Highways Agency and Network Rail. Austerity measures prevent any further action at this time. | Partially Complete |
| SOA34 | Improve management of existing routes available for motorised users and carriage drivers. | 2011 | Audit of unsurfaced UCRs completed. Production of management plan underway. | Partially Complete |
| SOA35 | Identify all cross boundary links with neighbouring authorities to ensure consistency of maintenance and promotion. | 2011 | Identification of cross boundary links and issues yet to commence. | No action taken |
| SOA36 | Pilot a project to prepare signage incorporating distance and destination information to key routes. | 2012 | Limewoods Signage - Public rights of way signage in the Limewoods area replaced with oak fingerposts. | Completed |
| SOA37 | Support highway divisions with the implementation of Quiet Lanes. | 2012 | Funds no longer available to undertake Quiet Lanes project in Greater Lincoln and Gainsborough Division pilot area. | No action taken |
| SOA38 | Develop a range of circular routes for cyclists and equestrians in areas of highest demand. Identify suitable roadside verges and "behind the hedge" links to create safer links between existing routes and improve management of those links identified. Support DEFRA schemes that increase access and | Throughout Plan life 2007-2012 | Officer time spent on ROWIP area priorities mapping. <br> 8 Lincolnshire cycling leaflets produced. <br> Lindsey Trail - route opened spring 2012. | Partially Completed |


|  | link PRoW. |  | Consultation responses provided to Natural <br> England in response to Higher Level <br> Stewardship Applications. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOA39 | Identify areas deficient in access where access <br> proposals would benefit the rights of way network. | 2007 | Discussions have taken place with Natural <br> England and LAFs were briefed in October <br> 2007 in relation to HLS access. ROWIP <br> implementation priorities overview mapping <br> completed for transport and health. | Completed |
| SOA40 | Review current Definitive Map Modifications Order <br> priority system to give priority to key cases that will <br> improve connectivity of the existing network of users. | 2007 | New priority system introduced in 2006. <br> Review undertaken in 2010. | Completed |
| SOA41 | Establish a priority system for Public Path Orders <br> giving higher priority to cases that will improve <br> connectivity on the existing network of users | 2007 | Public Path Order Priority System maintained <br> under review. | Completed |
| SOA42 | Implement programme of staff training to raise <br> awareness of diversity issues relating to countryside <br> access | Throughout <br> Plan life <br> $2007-2012$ | Disabled Access Audit training event held for <br> LCC PRoW staff in November 09. Relevant <br> information disseminated to staff as required. | Completed |
| SOA43 | Identify existing routes that meet needs of restricted <br> mobility users and publicise | 2011 | 5 Countryside for All routes published. <br> Promoted routes surveyed to identify <br> unnecessary stiles and barriers. | Completed |
| SOA44 | Develop and implement an accessibility policy in <br> conjunction with disability groups | 2009 | PRoW infrastructure details displayed on the <br> countryside access map. |  |
| SOA45 | Accessibility Guidance produced. <br> Drogramme of themed guided walks, rides and events | Throughout <br> plan life | Continuing to build on existing contacts and <br> partnerships. Funding required to implement | Completed |


|  |  | 2007-2012 | countryside access projects. <br> Involvement in the Wolds Walking Festival, Spires and Steeples Trail events, Wash Week, LCCP programme of events and Boston Community weekend. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOA46 | Develop policies and guidance which explain how the authority manages PROW and access | 2011 | Policy areas identified and included in officer work programmes. <br> Work undertaken to produce; <br> -Path priority system, <br> -Accessibility Guidance, <br> -DMMO policy, <br> -PPO policy, <br> -Draft Enforcement Manual. | Completed |
| SOA47 | Promote leaflets and access information to increase confidence and take up by none users. | 2007 | Natural England produced leaflet for the revised Countryside Code. Information also displayed on the LCC Countryside micro site. <br> Searchable database of Lincolnshire Walks produced for LCC website. <br> 42 Lincolnshire Walks Leaflets produced. <br> 8 Lincolnshire Cycling Leaflets produced. <br> 5 Countryside for all routes published. | Completed |
| SOA48 | Improve driver awareness of equestrians | 2011 | Equestrian leaflets distributed through Lincolnshire Road Safety Partnership as part of | Completed |
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|  |  | Driver Improvement Programme. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SOA49 | Produce Countryside Access newsletter | 2011 | Publication of newsletter to commence in 2012. | No action <br> taken |
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9.1 north east lincs DMMO

## North East LincoInshire DMMO's (Definitive Map Modification Orders)

Effect of Date of This section of path is part of a planned new promoted route within the urban area. The landowner however, is objecting to the claim. This route is well used and will form a valuable connection from the Scartho area to Cleethorpes therefore it is likely that rights can be proven on the basis of commonlaw dedication. Land is being considered for | DMMO 3 | Grimsby | Weelsby Woods | Footpath | 20/10/03 development to include a path along the claimed route - this may resolve this claim. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | New Clee Sidings (Fuller | Footpath and | Order to add a Public Footpath has now been advertised, with a number of objections |
|  | Street Bridge to Salvesen | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Restricted } \\ \text { DMMO } 6\end{array}$ | Cleethorpes | Road) | Original claim submitted after landowner planned to lock Kissing Gate at centre of path

 residents objected due to length of alternative route. Have written to certain providers of evidence forms to arrange meeting to take witness statements. No responses received. User evidence submitted vague and conflicting. No historial evidence found. Previous committee decision in 2004 to make order. Development of land currently being considered into Country Park, which would re-open land to public use and appears to provide route over land along line of claimed route. This may be a solution to resolve
17/04/08 claim. Path is part of Excluded Area which will be mapped in due course and is already 17/05/08 acknowledged on working copy as PROW.
Ref
Number Parish
Location Application Applicatio
Humberston Road to Claimed
Progress Notes
This section of pat
$-$ .
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## Lincolnshire <br> Working for a better fuwe

Report Reference: 9.2

| Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report to: | Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum, |
|  | South Lincolnshire \& Rutland Local Access |
| Date: | Forum |
| Subject: | $10^{\text {th }} \& 2$ 23rd April 2013 |
|  | Progress of Definitive Map Modification Order <br> Work from October 2006 - 9 |

## Summary:

A report on the progress of Definitive Map Modification Order work since the inception of the current prioritisation policy (October $2006-9^{\text {th }}$ April 2013) and current caseloads following workforce change.

## Recommendation(s):

That the report is noted

## 1. Background

As Surveying Authority the County Council has a statutory duty to keep under continuous review the Definitive Rights of Way Map and Statement for Lincolnshire and to make orders to take account of events requiring the map to be modified. This is carried out by the processing of Definitive Map Modification Orders (DMMOs) which are either applied for by the public or initiated by the Authority on the discovery of evidence.

Highways \& Traffic Guidance Note HAT33/3/11 sets out that such cases will be dealt with in order of receipt/initiation unless one or more of the eight "exception criteria" apply.

The criteria are as follows:

1. Where there is sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce.
2. Where there is a significant threat to the route, likely to cause a permanent obstruction (e.g. a building, but not, for example, a locked gate or residential fencing).
3. Where there is, or has been, a finding of maladministration by the Local Government Ombudsman on a particular case and that in processing the case the County Council will discharge its duty to the Ombudsman's decision.
4. Where legal proceedings against the County Council are instigated or are likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.
5. Where there is a risk to children on County Council owned property and land or where the claimed route would provide for a safer alternative route to a school, play area or other amenity for children.
6. Where there is a significant financial saving to the County Council (and therefore taxpayers) through the processing of an Order.
7. Where a new application is received that relies on evidence of a case already received or, if the new application forms part of or is adjoining to an existing claim, the new claim will be dealt with at the same time as the older application.
8. Where the route will significantly assist in achieving a Countryside and Rights of Way Improvement Plan Objective or Statement of Action.

The above numbered exception criteria do not cover every eventuality and it is recognised that in exceptional circumstances there may be other reasons why it would benefit the public for a case to be considered out of normal order. Officers will not prioritise any case under such circumstances and any appeal will only be considered by the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee.

Initially the priority of a case is set by Officers however there is a right of appeal for any affected persons whereby a decision is made by the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee.

Appendices $A, B$ and $C$ to this report outline the progress that has been made over since the inception of the revised Schedule of Priorities and $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012.

## 2. Conclusion

That the Rights of Way \& Countryside Access Section has continued to make progress against casework backlogs.

## 3. Consultation

a) Scrutiny Comments
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## b) Executive Councillor Comments

c) Local Member Comments
d) Policy Proofing Actions Required n/a

## 4. Appendices

| These are listed below and attached at the back of the report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Appendix A | Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 <br> to 9 |  |  |
| Appendix B April 2013 |  |  |  | | Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to |
| :--- |
| Prioritisation Have Been Made. |

## 5. Background papers

The following background papers as defined in the Local Government Act 1972 were relied upon in the writing of this report.

Highways \& Traffic Guidance Note 33 - Prioritisation of Definitive Map Modification Orders - HAT 33/3/11

This report was written by Chris Miller, Countryside Access Manager, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or countryside_access@lincolnshire.gov.uk.
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT MADE
Careby, Aunby \& Holywell $\quad$ Reclassification of RB1

$$
\text { Reclassification of RB } 4
$$

Reclassification of RB 10
Downgrading of RB 127
laimed Public Footpath
laim Public Footpath
Claimed path dedicated by landowner instead (PF987)
Decision not to make, no appeal by applicant
DEFRA upheld decision, insufficient evidence
Realignment not necessary - requires diversion order
Insufficient evidence
Legal Issue regarding Village Green status
DEFRA upheld decision, insufficient evidence
Insufficient Evidence
Insufficient Evidence
14/6/2011 Insufficient Evidence - DEFRA Dismissed applicants appeal 2/6/2011 Insufficient Evidence
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
CASES WHERE AN ORDER HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY CONFIRMED

17/01/2007
17/01/2007

| 05/03/2007 |
| :--- |
| $16 / 03 / 2007$ |

18/04/2007
20/04/2007
23/04/2007
02/05/2007
05/06/2007
08/06/2007
No 11/06/2007
20/08/2007
21/08/2007


15/11/2007
30/10/2007
07/01/2008
15/01/2008
07/02/2008
30/05/2008
05/06/2008
09/06/2008
27/06/2008
Application?
Yes 12/02/2002

| Yes 21/06/1999 |
| :--- |
| No 12/10/2006 |

Yes 15/05/2000
No 21/08/1996
Yes 15/11/1997
Yes 15/11/1997
Yes 06/09/1999
Yes 04/06/1998
Yes 20/08/2007
Yes 20/07/1992
Yes 27/08/1987
Yes 15/11/1993
Yes 20/08/2000
Yes 08/03/1999
Yes 27/06/1991
Yes 10/02/1987
Yes 25/10/2001
No 02/02/1987

| No 02/03/2007 |
| :--- |
| Yes 22/05/1989 |


Yes 31/10/2005
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Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { North Somercotes } & \text { Claimed Public Footpath from Keeling St to Rd } & \text { Yes 02/02/1991 } & \text { 27/11/2009 } & \text { Secretary of State confirmation as Public Bridleway } \\ \hline \text { Spilsby } & \text { Claimed Public Footpath from Halton Rd to PF 160 } & \text { Yes 22/02/1989 } & 12 / 01 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { East Barkwith } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Claimed Public Footpath from Village Green on Torrington Lane } \\ \text { to church yard }\end{array} & \text { Yes 24/07/1992 } & 06 / 05 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { Newton \& Haceby } & \text { Upgrade of Restricted Byway 11 to BOAT Newton to A15 } & \text { Yes 27/05/2004 } & 10 / 08 / 2010 & \text { Sch. } 14 \text { appeal - Directed to make Order } \\ \hline \text { Newton \& Haceby / Walcot } & \text { Upgrade of Restricted Byway 2 and Public Bridleway 3 "Green } & \text { Yes 27/05/2004 } & 10 / 08 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { Lane" } & \text { Rogdyke (Chapel Hill) } & \text { Realignment of Public Footpath from Crown Inn to River Witham } & \text { Yes 14/10/2002 } & 17 / 08 / 2010\end{array}\right]$
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012

## CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT CONFIRMED

| Sutton St Edmund | Claimed BOAT - Hallgate Road |
| :--- | :--- |

19/09/2008 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 $\quad$ Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 03/06/2009 Order incapable of being c 14/09/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 28/09/2010 Not confirmed by Inspector
19/05/2011 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient evidence to prove a } \\ & \text { specific route }\end{aligned}$
Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient Evidence (LCC
objected after having been made to make the order on appeal by the applicant)
30/03/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
20/04/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
19/06/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
25/01/2013 Not confirmed by Inspector

## No

| CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT CONFIRMED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parish | Further details | Formal application? | Decision Date | Notes |
| Sutton St Edmund | Claimed BOAT - Hallgate Road | No 31/10/1988 | 19/09/2008 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from County boundary to Brewer's Grave | Yes 25/03/1999 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Village Street to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Church to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Chequers Inn to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| East Stockwith | Realignment of Public Footpath No. 37 | Yes 23/12/2001 | 03/06/2009 | Order incapable of being confirmed, owing to drafting error |
| Kirkby Underwood | Deletion of Public Footpath 7 | Yes 18/04/1983 | 14/09/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Temple Bruer with Temple High Grange | 2 Claimed BOATs - Cocked Hat Plantation | No 06/02/1985 | 28/09/2010 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Mablethorpe | Claimed Public Footpath from Queensway to King Georges Field | Yes 15/08/2005 | 19/05/2011 | Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient evidence to prove a specific route |
| Wewton \& Haceby 0 | Upgrade of Restricted Byway 11 to BOAT Newton to A15 | Yes 27/05/2004 | 15/06/2011 | Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient Evidence (LCC objected after having been made to make the order on appeal by the applicant) |
| Dlanby | Claimed Public Footpath between Chapel Lane and Public Footpath 218 | Yes 27/041984 | 30/03/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Quath | Claimed Public Footpath from Kiln Lane to High Holme Road | Yes 25/04/2007 | 20/04/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Langriville | Leagate Rd to Mere Booth Rd. | Yes 26/07/1983 | 19/06/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| North Hykeham / Lincoln | Station Road to Clarke Road | No | 25/01/2013 | Not confirmed by Inspector |

## CASES CURRENTLY SUBMITTED TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Decision due c. May 2013
Public Inquiry 30/4/2013 Notes

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{O} \\ & \stackrel{+}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

Since the inception of the current prioritisation policy the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee has heard appeals on a total of 12 cases. The following is a synopsis of the progress made on those specific cases.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ March 2007 <br> Horncastle - Claimed Public Footpath along Holt Lane to Banovallum Gardens

At the time of this sitting of the sub-committee this case was number 74 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the case was likely to be dealt with in a short timescale

As the case had not been processed a further review of the decision was made at the sitting of the sub-committee on $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 - see below.

## Mablethorpe and Sutton - Claimed Public Footpath from Queensway to King George's Field

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was evidence that there was "Significant threat to the route and likely to cause a permanent obstruction with no means of resolving the problem by way of diversion ".

The Statement of Reasons for this case was submitted to the Secretary of State on $27^{\text {th }}$ April 2010. The Order was NOT CONFIRMED

## $4^{\text {th }}$ June 2007 <br> Stamford - Claimed Public Footpath from Welland Mews to Lower Stamford Meadows

This case was number 168 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the submitted petition was not representative given the size of Stamford as a town.

Since this time a second appeal was made following the inclusion of the new exception criteria 8 in 2009. Officers accepted that the claimed route would have a significant impact on the implementation of the Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan. The case is currently with the Secretary of State for determination but awaiting a start date.

## $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2010

Eagle \& Swinethorpe / Swinderby: Claimed Public Bridleway from Southern Iane to Public Bridleway 12 (Morton Lane)

This case was number 110 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

Having conducted the relevant research the County Council felt that the evidence suggested that restricted byway rights, rather than public bridleway rights, existed. The order was CONFIRMED
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

## Sleaford - Claimed Public Footpath from Millfield Terrace to Eastgate

This case had not been prioritised by officers at the time of the sitting of the subcommittee. The appeal was allowed on the grounds that there was "a risk to children on County Council owned property or where routes are likely to be used by children to provide safe access to schools, play areas or other local amenities".

Following the relevant research the County Council concluded that the submitted evidence did not show that any public right of way existed. The applicant exercised their right to appeal this decision to the Secretary of State in January 2009. the appeal was not upheld and the ORDER WAS NOT MADE

## $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 <br> Lea - Claimed Public Bridleway from Lea Park to new plantation off Willingham Road, (nr Gainsborough)

This case was number 147 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

Since this time a second appeal was made following the inclusion of the new exception criteria 8 in 2009. The sub-committee allowed this appeal at the sitting of $14^{\text {th }}$ September 2009 on the grounds that the claimed route would have a significant impact on the implementation of the Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

After conducting research and assessing the evidence the County Council made an order on $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2010. The Order was CONFIRMED

Horncastle - Claimed Public Footpath along Holt Lane to Banovallum Gardens
See above.
The sub-committee prioritised the case on the grounds that new information suggested that there was "a risk to children on County Council owned property or where routes are likely to be used by children to provide safe access to schools, play areas or other local amenities".

The case has been CONFIRMED with modifications following submission to the Secretary of State.

## $19^{\text {th }}$ January 2009 <br> Burgh le Marsh - Claimed Public Footpath from Faulkners Lane to Orby Road.

This case was number 77 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case.
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

Dogdyke (Chapel Hill) - Claimed Public Footpath from The Crown Inn Public House to the River Witham.

This case was number 94 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that legal proceedings against the County Council were likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.

Following the relevant investigations the County Council made an order on $1^{\text {st }}$ June 2010 and, with no objections being made, the Order was CONFIRMED

## $29^{\text {th }}$ June 2009 <br> Lincoln - Claimed Public Footpath from Dunkirk Road to Breedon Drive

This case was number 141 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case.

## Mumby - Realignment of Public Footpath No. 59

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that legal proceedings against the County Council were likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.

Following investigation an Order was made and with objections withdrawn the Order was CONFIRMED.
$14^{\text {th }}$ September 2009
See $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 above

## $12^{\text {th }}$ October 2009

Raithby \& Hundleby - Claimed Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT) \& upgrade of Public Bridleway No 133 to BOAT

This case was number 155 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case although it has been subject to protracted correspondence and a corporate complaint. The applicant also exercised their right of appeal to the Secretary of State following the expiration of one year since the application was made. The Secretary of State felt that LCC's Schedule of Priorities was fair and declined to order that a decision be made.

## $4^{\text {th }}$ October 2010

Caistor - Claimed Public Footpath between Buttermarket \& Plough Hill
This case was number 153 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community,

Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.
and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

An order has been made but received objections. Statement of Reasons prepared Objections likely to be withdrawn.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 <br> Mablethorpe \& Sutton - Claimed Public Footpath from Sandhurst Road to the Promenade

The case was 129 on the priority list. The sub-committee did not allow the appeal based on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce" also the Town Council felt that the claimed route may be useful to the fire-brigade in dealing with the regular arson attacks to the beach huts at Sandilands.

## Wrangle - Claimed Byway Open to All Traffic "Cockleshell Alley"

This case was number 94 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress. An Order to add a Restricted Byway was made and advertised 3dr April 2013.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ October 2011
Bourne - Claimed Footpath from Beaumont Drive to Bourne Woods (No. 142 on the Priority List).

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee deferred its decision pending the planning decision due to be considered by South Kesteven District Council. Having received this no further action is currently required.

## Holbeach - Claimed Footpath between Langwith Gardens \& Stukeley Hall Drive

This case is number 67 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce"

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress.

## $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2012

## Sturton by Stow - Claimed Public Footpath from Bransby to Tillbridge Lane

The case is at No. 124 on the priority list. The sub-committee did not allow the appeal as it did not consider that the planning permission granted for the land adjacent had an effect on the right of way
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ November 2012

## Utterby - Claimed Public Footpaths Grange Lane to Holywell Lane and Former Railway

The Committee allowed the appeals for these two separate cases and were in agreement with the applicant that the routes fulfilled Criteria 8 "Where the route will significantly assist in achieving a Countryside and Rights of Way Improvement Plan Objective or Statement of Action".

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress. User interviews are complete and pre-decision consultation on-going until $15^{\text {th }}$ April 2013.

## Colsterworth - Deletion of Public Footpath No. 14

The Committee allowed the appeal on the basis that it felt that the route was the subject of much local concern and had given rise to a number of incidents of illfeeling in the community and had become a particular problem for the occupiers.

The case is at No. 21 in the priority list and awaits allocation to an officer.

## $8^{\text {th }}$ April 2013

Heapham - Variation of Particulars contained in the Definitive Statement for PF 57
The Committee allowed the appeal on the basis that it felt that the route was the subject of much local concern and had given rise to a number of incidents of illfeeling in the community.

The case is at No. 22 in the priority list and awaits allocation to an officer.
Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

| Parish | File | Status | Further details | Application/Acceptance | Active | Priority Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waddington | 128 | RB | RB 10 | 01/01/1990 | Yes | 1 |
| Ancaster | 2 | RB | to BOAT | 13/11/1991 | Yes | 2 |
| Holbeach | 236 | PF | Langwith Gardens to Stukeley Hall Drive | 18/01/2002 | Yes | 3 |
| Wrangle | 283 | BOAT | Claimed BOAT from Church Lane to Church End "Cockle/Cocleshell Alley" | 05/09/2005 | Yes | 4 |
| Carlton Scroop/Ancaster | 308 | BOAT | Upgrade of PF 11 \& PB 11 in Carlton Scroop and RB 13 in Ancaster to BOAT | 20/03/2006 | Yes | 5 |
| Ancaster | 309 | BOAT | Addition of a BOAT along Heath Lane in the parish of Ancaster | 23/03/2006 | Yes | 6 |
| Stamford | 315 | PF | From Welland Mews to Lower Stamford meadows | 08/02/2007 | Yes | 7 |
| Caistor | 340 | PF | Claimed Footpath (Buttermarket) South St to Plough Hill | 18/09/2009 | Yes | 8 |
| Utterby | 354 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Grange Lane to Holy Well Lane | 08/05/2012 | Yes | 9 |
| Utterby | 355 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Grange Lane to former railway and beyond | 08/05/2012 | Yes | 10 |
| New Leake | 353 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Spilsby Rd to Spilsby Rd | 30/05/2012 | Yes | 11 |
| Holbeach | 50 | PF | Woodhouse Lane to Hurn Bank | 14/06/1983 | Yes | 12 |
| Anderby | 3 | PF | Sea road to Creek out fall | 25/07/1983 | Yes | 13 |
| DJuth Willingham / Benniworth | 96 | PF | Claimed PF from Grange farm, Low Barns to road south of Skirbeck House | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 14 |
| Pouth Willingham | 97 | PF | Hainton Rd to High St | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 15 |
| \%outh Willingham / Hainton | 98 | PF | Moors Lane to A157 | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 16 |
| South Willingham | 99 | PF | Moors lane to Poplar Fm | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 17 |
| PRickenby | 121 | BOAT | Wickenby R/way Bdge - Wickenby Wood corner | 11/12/1990 | Yes | 18 |
| Saltfleetby St Clement/Skidbrooke with Saltfleet Haven | 82 | PB | PB from Sea View to Gowts Farm | 06/08/1991 | Yes | 19 |
| Woodhall Spa | 193 | PF | Mill Lane to R.Witham Bank | 12/05/1998 | Yes | 20 |

E CASEWORK
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| Colsterworth | 259 | PF 14 | PF 14 | 06/05/2004 | No | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heapham | 360 | PF | Variation of Statement for PF 57 | 20/12/2012 | No | 22 |
| Leasingham | 199 | RB | Proposed downgrading of RB 13 to PF | 27/07/1983 | No | 23 |
| Belton / Gt. Gonerby | 12 | PF | Belton to Great Gonerby (Gads Lane) | 01/11/1983 | No | 24 |
| Heydour | 48 | PF | PF to be diverted - from Southern end of PF 3 to church Lees | 01/01/1985 | No | 25 |
| Aunsby \& Dembleby | 5 | PROW | see file | 11/07/1985 | No | 26 |
| Mablethorpe and Sutton | 106 | PF | High St to Broadway | 16/09/1985 | No | 27 |
| Grimoldby | 43 | PF | from Mill Lane | 23/10/1985 | No | 28 |
| Hogsthorpe | 49 | PF | Sea Lane to Maiden Lane | 11/12/1986 | No | 29 |
| Burgh-le-Marsh | 18 | PF | Faulkers Lane | 10/02/1987 | No | 30 |
| Stamford | 101 | PF | Cherry Holt Lane to Priory Rd | 03/04/1987 | No | 31 |
| East Stockwith/ Morton | 27 | PF | PF from Willowbank Lane to Walkerith Road | 04/06/1987 | No | 32 |
| Linwood / Market Rasen | 60 | PF | Buslingthorpe R/way Bdge | 18/06/1987 | No | 33 |
| Middle Rasen | 72 | PF | Caistor Rd to Gatehouse Lane | 05/08/1987 | No | 34 |
| Gainsborough | 36 | PF | Whites Wood | 07/09/1987 | No | 35 |
| Belchford | 11 | PB | Ings Lane | 25/01/1988 | No | 36 |
| Skidbrooke with Salthaven | 85 | PF | The Haven | 30/03/1988 | No | 37 |
| Leasingham | 272 | PF | Claimed PF from PF2 to PF3 in the Parish of Leasingham | 21/10/1988 | No | 38 |
| -jesthorpe/Buslingthorpe/Lissington etc | 32 | PB | BW from Lissingley Lane to Shortwood Lane | 08/05/1989 | No | 39 |
| 0jnwood/Legsby | 59 | PF | Linwood Church to Bleasby | 11/07/1989 | No | 40 |
| Qreat Gonerby/Marston | 41 | PF | Cliff Lane | 01/01/1990 | No | 41 |
| Qutton St James | 108 | BOAT | Badgate | 01/02/1990 | No | 42 |
| DParket Rasen/Tealby | 68 | PF | from PF163 | 15/03/1990 | No | 43 |
| Q/elbourn (etc..) | 117 | BOAT | Ermine St | 04/04/1990 | No | 44 |
| Castle Bytham | 136 | RB | RB 3 | 22/10/1990 | No | 45 |
| Glentham | 38 | PF | From church gates | 07/04/1991 | No | 46 |
| Middle Rasen | 69 | PB | PB514 to BOAT | 22/05/1991 | No | 47 |
| Kettlethorpe | 55 | BOAT | Know as Westmoor Lane | 19/08/1991 | No | 48 |
| Fulletby | 35 | BOAT | Mill Street | 22/05/1992 | No | 49 |
| Rothwell / Cabourne | 81 | BOAT | PB24 to BOAT | 15/06/1992 | No | 50 |
| Great Gonerby | 40 | PF | PF6 to join RB 5 | 21/12/1992 | No | 51 |
| Alford | 344 | BOAT | Claimed BOAT from Hanby Lane to to New Cemetary | 01/04/1993 | No | 52 |
| Bassingham | 9 | PF | Claimed PF from Brocklebank Close to playing field | 27/10/1993 | No | 53 |
| Navenby | 154 | PF | 'The Smoots' | 22/05/1996 | No | 54 |
| Fulletby | 162 | PF | School Lane | 10/10/1996 | No | 55 |
| Beckingham/Brant Broughton | 167 | PF | PF 5 to BW and missing link | 12/12/1996 | No | 56 |
| Coningsby | 182 | PF | School Lane to Dogdyke Road | 06/11/1997 | No | 57 |
| Little Carlton/Legbourne | 185 | PB | 'Blind Lane' from PB 624 (Manby) | 22/01/1998 | No | 58 |
| Louth | 186 | PB | Grimsby/Louth Railway Line ( part from Keddington Rd crossing to Little Grim | 03/02/1998 | No | 59 |
| Horncastle | 195 | PF | Boston Road to the Wong | 23/05/1998 | No | 60 |
| Grantham | 194 | PF | Denton Ave to PF 16 | 28/05/1998 | No | 61 |
| Halton Holegate | 201 | PF | Northorpe Road to Ashby Road | 17/08/1998 | No | 62 |
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| Benniworth | 358 | PF | Part of PF9 | No | 25/07/2012 | No |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caythorpe and Frieston | 359 | PF | Claimed Footpath between Old Lincoln Road and PF4 and PF to PF5 | $005 / 10 / 2012$ | No |  |
| Sudbrooke | 360 | PF | Claimed footpaths in Sudbrooke Park | 146 |  |  |
| Foston | 361 | PF | Claimed bridleway from 16 Chapel Lane to Restricted Byway No.5 | $04 / 12 / 2012$ | No | $14 / 01 / 2013$ |
| Upton | 362 | PF | Claimed BOAT from Heapham Road to Slingers Wood | No | 148 |  |
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| PPO 4 | Waltham FP72 | HA s119 | Self initiated | Members approved officers request to re-make 2010 PPO. Preparations <br> underway to advertise new diversion order very soon. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Landowner arranging legal diversion of footpath to avoid dangerous pre-war |  |
| Pridges and reinstate use following long term closure on the grounds of |  |  |  |  |

[^0]This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 10b 

## Lincolnshiree <br> Working for a beter fuwue

Report Reference: 0.2

## Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities

| Report to: | South LincoInshire \& Rutland Local Access <br>  <br> Forum, Mid Lincolnshire Local Access Forum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $10^{\text {th }} \& 23^{\text {rd }}$ April 2013 |
| Subject: | Public Path Order Progress Report 1 April 12 to <br> 31 March 13 |

## Summary:

A report as to the progress made on resolving Public Path Orders

## Recommendation(s):

That the report be noted

## 1. Background

The County Council has a power to divert, extinguish or create public rights of way either of its own volition or following an application to do so from the public.

## 2. Summary

The County Council has a current workload of 23 outstanding cases. These include applications for diversions, creations and extinguishments as well as cases directly initiated by LCC.

No. of Applications Received or Cases Initiated Since $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2012-7

| Anderby | Diversion of Public Footpath 16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Halton Holegate | Diversion of Public Footpath 213 |
| Woodhall Spa | Extinguishment of PF 154 Creation of Public Bridleway <br> (Spa Trail) |
| Louth | Diversion of PF 5 |
| Harmston | Extinguishment of spur of PF 3 |
| Castle Bytham | To give permanent effect to a temporary diversion order <br> for mineral extraction over public footpath |


| Tallington | Diversion of PFs 1,2,4 \& 5 associated with rail crossing <br> on application by Network Rail |
| :--- | :--- |

a) There have been 5 orders confirmed with associated Legal Event Modification Orders since $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2012.

| Scothern | Diversion of PF 149 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Halton Holegate | Diversion of Public Footpath 213 |
| Stapleford | Temporary Minerals Diversion of PF 1 |
| Mablethorpe \& Sutton | Diversion \& Extinguishment PF 321 |
| Harmston | Extinguishment of spur of PF 3 |

b) The County Council have confirmed 3 further case and is awaiting confirmation that the new routes are available And Legal Event Modification Orders to be made

| Great Gonerby | Diversion of PF 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anderby | Diversion of PF 16 |
| Castle Bytham | Diversion of PF 6 |

c) The County Council have determined to take no further action on 4 further cases since $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2012

| Lincoln | Diversion of PF 41 (Rails Crossing Order) determined by <br> Planning \& Regulation Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eagle \& Swinethorpe | Diversion of PF 3 |
| Louth | Diversion of PF 5 |
| Woodhall Spa | Extinguishment \& Creation of Bridleway - PF 154 |

d) $\mathbf{0}$ cases await determination by the Secretary of State
$\square$
e)

|  | $2011-12$ | $2012-13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Orders confirmed \& Legal Event Modification Orders completed | 5 | 5 |
| Orders confirmed awaiting Legal Event Modification Orders |  | 3 |
| Orders not confirmed | 0 | 0 |
| Cases abandoned | 1 | 4 |

## 3. Consultation

a) Scrutiny Comments
n/a
b) Executive Councillor Comments
n/a
c) Local Member Comments n/a
d) Policy Proofing Actions Required n/a

## 4. Background papers

No background papers within Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972 were used in the preparation of this report.

This report was written by Chris Miller - Countryside AccessManager, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or countryside_access@lincolnshire.gov.uk.
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